

DECISION

Of

Queensland Racing Integrity Commission Stewards Regarding

Mr Mitchell Goring

Delivered on: 22 September 2025

Date of hearing: 18 September 2025

Panel: Mr Joshua Adams (Chairperson), Mr Paul Zimmermann, Mr

Alexander Dowsett.

Appearances: Mr Mitchell Goring (self-represented)

Mr Glen Prentice – Queensland Jockeys Association (Support

person)

Charge : Australian Rule of Racing ("AR") 229(1)(a) states:

(1) A person must not:

(a) engage in any dishonest, corrupt, fraudulent, improper or dishonourable action or practice in connection with racing;

Particulars of Charge: Mr Mitchell Goring a licensed Apprentice Jockey with the

Queensland Racing Integrity Commission, in contravention of Australian Rule of Racing AR 229(1)(a) engaged in fraudulent

behaviour in connection with racing.

1. Mr Goring was at all relevant times a licensed Apprentice Jockey with the Queensland Racing Integrity Commission.

 As a requirement of Mr Goring's Apprentice Jockeys license with the Queensland Racing Integrity Commission, he submitted a fraudulent Health Assessment Results Form for the 2025/2026 season by submitting a Health Assessment Results Form which was not completed by a Medical

Practitioner.

3. Mr Goring's conduct in submitting a Health Assessment Results Form that was not completed by a Medical Practitioner was fraudulent and accordingly in breach of AR229(1)(a).

Plea: Guilty

Penalty Submissions:

Stewards were presented with detailed written submissions from Mr Mitchell Goring.

In summary Mr Goring submitted that:

- 1. Riding and stable work are Mr Goring's sole source of income; a disqualification would cause significant financial hardship.
- 2. As a heavyweight apprentice, he fears a lengthy disqualification could end his riding career.
- 3. At the time of the conduct, he did not fully appreciate the seriousness of his actions.
- 4. He was experiencing personal difficulties and has since sought mental-health support.
- 5. He has now provided a legitimate medical certificate confirming no health issues affecting safety.
- 6. He has no prior offences of this nature.
- 7. He fully cooperated with Stewards and entered an early guilty plea.
- 8. He expresses genuine remorse.
- 9. He asks that any penalty be tailored to his circumstances, including consideration of alternatives to total disqualification or a partially suspended component.

Stewards' Finding on Penalty:

The Stewards acknowledge Mr Goring's cooperation, his guilty plea, his personal circumstances, and the remorse expressed. His otherwise unblemished disciplinary record in relation to similar matters has also been afforded weight. These are genuine mitigating factors which have properly been considered in determining penalty.

That said, Stewards are compelled to address the seriousness of this offence. The submission of falsified documentation in the licensing process strikes at the very heart of racing integrity.

The licensing framework is not an administrative technicality; it exists to safeguard both the welfare of participants and the confidence of the wagering public. The system of annual medical certification is designed to ensure that riders are physically and mentally fit to compete in one of the most dangerous professional sports in the world.

Race riding is a uniquely high-risk occupation. Jockeys compete in close quarters at high speeds while surrounded by fellow riders and horses. Split-second misjudgements or the sudden incapacitation of a rider can have catastrophic consequences, not just for the rider involved, but for other jockeys and horses in the field. It is for this reason that strict compliance with licensing and medical requirements is demanded of all jockeys. Any attempt to circumvent these safety mechanisms, even in the absence of an actual health issue, represents an unacceptable risk.

The Stewards further find that Mr Goring rode in 25 races without a valid medical, which materially aggravates the conduct and elevates blameworthiness well beyond a single administrative lapse. The extent and exposure over multiple race engagements demand a penalty that adequately acts as a general deterrence.

The Stewards also note that jockeys occupy a position of particular trust within the industry. They are the direct participants in races upon which the public invest millions of dollars in wagering each year. The integrity of jockey conduct, and the assurance that only fit and properly licensed persons are permitted to ride, underpins both public confidence and the commercial viability of the sport. Accordingly, jockeys are held to the highest possible standard of honesty and accountability.

As stated by McGill DCJ in Wallace v Queensland Racing [2007]:

"Public confidence in racing is easily damaged, and must be protected by imposing penalties when conduct is shown to be prejudicial to the image of the sport."

In *Waterhouse v Racing Appeals Tribunal* [2002] NSWCA 195, the Court emphasised: "Dishonest conduct in racing is conduct which is blameworthy and capable of undermining confidence in the industry."

Further, in *Pollett v Racing NSW* (2021) NSWCATAD 152, the Tribunal observed: "It is sufficient if the conduct has a tendency to diminish public confidence in the integrity of racing, whether or not actual prejudice can be shown."

In respect of comparative authorities, Stewards are satisfied that the appropriate penalty framework is one of disqualification. This is consistent with penalties imposed in cases involving dishonesty and falsification of medical documents:

- Marshall (2025): 8 months disqualification for falsifying a medical.
- Ross (2021): 8 months disqualification for falsifying a medical certificate.
- Sharrock (2025): 9 months disqualification for repeated falsifications
- McKay (2025): 9 months disqualification for multiple falsifications

- Wright (2023, VRT): 12 months disqualification for forging a doctor's signature
- Schembri (2025, VRT): 3 years disqualification for repeated falsification and false evidence

Human Rights

In considering this matter, proper consideration is required in respect of human rights, and it is necessary to act compatibly with human rights in accordance with the *Human Rights Act 2019* (Qld). The Stewards acknowledge that any penalty imposed upon Mr Goring may limit or prevent a person from earning a living from the racing industry and subsequently that the imposition of such a penalty may limit a person's human rights to own property (namely a licence), as well as the human right of privacy and reputation. The Stewards further acknowledge that a limitation upon a person's ability to participate in the racing industry, and earn income from that participation, may only be imposed where it is reasonably necessary to achieve the purpose of taking disciplinary action and there is no less restrictive and reasonably available way to achieve the purposes listed above, than to suspend a licence, disqualify a person from holding a licence, or warn a person off.

In the circumstances of this case, Stewards are satisfied that there is no lesser penalty that could be imposed that enables the purposes of taking disciplinary action against a licensee for a contravention of the rules of racing, which are to:

- Maintain public confidence in the racing of animals in Queensland for which betting is lawful;
- Ensure the integrity of all persons involved with racing or betting under the *Racing Integrity*Act 2016 or the <u>Racing Act</u> 2002; and
- Safeguard the welfare of all animals that are or have been involved in racing under the *Racing Integrity Act 2016* or the *Racing Act 2002*.

Penalty:

Stewards are of the view that a disqualification is warranted in the circumstances. A disqualification for a period of twelve (12) months reduced to eight (8) months in relation to Mr Goring's disciplinary history and guilty plea, effective from the date of this decision commencing 22 September 2025 concluding 22 May 2026.

Further, stewards draw to the attention of Mr Mitchell Goring Australian Rule of Racing AR 263 and the prohibitions on persons and their conduct while disqualified.

Against this Stewards decision Mr Mitchell Goring is advised of his rights to appeal this decision to the Racing Appeal Panel.